



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/770,358	02/02/2004	Ronald S. Karr	VRT0133US	7650
60429	7590	07/20/2006	EXAMINER	
CSA LLP 4807 SPICEWOOD SPRINGS RD. BLDG. 4, SUITE 201 AUSTIN, TX 78759			YU, JAE UN	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2185	

DATE MAILED: 07/20/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/770,358	Applicant(s) KARR ET AL.	
	Examiner Jae U. Yu	Art Unit 2185	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 02 February 2004.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-22 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 02 February 2004 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>5/10/2004</u> . | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

The instant application having Application No. 10770358 has a total of 22 claims pending in the application, there are 4 independent claims and 18 dependent claims, all of which are ready for examination by the examiner.

Information Disclosure Statement

As required by M.P.E.P. 609 (C), the applicant's submission of the Information Disclosure Statement dated 5/10/2004 is acknowledged by the examiner and the cited references have been considered in the examination of the claims now pending. As required by M.P.E.P. 609 C(2), a copy of the PTOL-1449 initialed and dated by the examiner is attached to the instant office action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

1. Claims 1 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
2. Claims 1 and 12 recite the limitation "the first volume description", "the second volume description" and "the first logical volume". There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

1. Claims 1, 4-10, 12, 15-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Shackelford et al. (US 2005/0154845).
2. Independent claims 1 and 12 disclose, “a memory medium comprising instructions executable by a computer system [**“Code in the computer readable medium is executed by a processor”, Paragraph 91**]”.

“Creating a first storage object, wherein the first storage object comprises creating a first storage object description, wherein the first storage object description comprises data that relates [**Mapping between virtual and physical volumes, Paragraph 34**] the first storage object to first underlying storage objects or to first physical memory regions [**Virtual volumes (“the first storage object”) that correspond to the “physical storage” 106 (Figure 1), Paragraph 38**]”

“Creating a second storage object as a virtual copy of the first storage object
[**“Virtualized” mirror of the primary storage, Figure 1**], wherein creating the second storage object comprises creating a second storage object description, wherein the second storage object description comprises data identifying the second storage object as a copy [**Maintaining the consistency between the copy and the original storage (The replication management application identifies the secondary storage control as a copy of the primary storage control), Paragraph 34**] of the first logical volume (no antecedent basis, interpreted as “the first storage object”)”

“Adding to the first storage object description data identifying the second storage object as a copy of the first storage object [**The primary storage control identifies the secondary storage control as a mirror of itself, Figure 1**]”

“Transmitting the first volume description (no antecedent bases, interpreted as “the first storage object”) to a first computer system, and ; transmitting the second volume description (no antecedent basis, interpreted as “the second storage object”) to a second computer system [**Storing “virtual volumes” 206 in “physical storages” 106/108, Figure 1 & 2**]”

3. **Claims 4 and 15** disclose, “information relating [**Mapping between virtual and physical volumes, Paragraph 34**] the second storage object to second underlying

Art Unit: 2185

storage objects or second memory regions [Virtual volumes (“the second storage object”) that correspond to the “physical storage” 108, Figure 1]”.

4. Claims 5 and 16 disclose, “modifying the first storage description [“Data Update Stream” 200, Figure 2]”.

“Transmitting the modified first storage description to the first and second computer systems [Storing the updates to “Primary Storage Control” 100 and “Secondary Storage Control” 102, Figure 2]”

5. Independent claims 6 and 17 disclose, “a memory medium comprising instructions executable by a computer system [“Code in the computer readable medium is executed by a processor”, Paragraph 91]”.

“Creating a second storage object, wherein creating the second storage object is created as a virtual copy of a first storage object [“Virtualized” mirror of the primary storage, Figure 1], wherein creating the second storage object comprises creating a description of the second storage object [Maintaining the consistency between the copy and the original storage (The replication management application identifies the secondary storage control as a copy of the primary storage control), Paragraph 34]”

"Adding data to a description for the first storage object to indicate that the first storage object is related to the second storage object [**The primary storage control identifies the secondary storage control as a mirror of itself, Figure 1]**"

6. **Claims 7 and 18** disclose, "transmitting the first storage object to a first computer system, and; transmitting the second storage object description to a second computer system [**Storing "virtual volumes" 206 in "physical storages" 106/108, Figure 1 & 2]**".

7. **Claims 8 and 19** disclose, "the data added to the first storage object description indicates that the second storage object is a copy to the first storage object [**The primary storage control identifies the secondary storage control as a mirror of itself, Figure 1]**".

8. **Claims 9 and 20** disclose, "the first storage object description is transmitted to the first computer system after the data is added to the first storage object description [**The primary storage control identifies the secondary storage control as a mirror of itself, Figure 1]**". The primary control always recognizes the secondary storage control as its copy to make the data updates possible (Figure 2). Therefore, the "data" is already present and constantly being updated before the transmission.

9. **Claims 10 and 21** disclose, "data that relates the second storage object to second underlying storage objects **[Mapping between virtual and physical volumes, Paragraph 34]**".

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

1. **Claims 2, 3, 11, 13, 14 and 22** are rejected under 35 USC 103 (a) as being obvious over Shackelford et al. (US 2005/0154845) in view of Berg (US 6,222,558).
2. As per **claims 2, 3, 11, 13, 14 and 22**, Shackelford et al. disclose, "transmitting the first storage object description after the data is added to the first storage object description to indicate that the first storage object is related to the second storage object **[The primary storage control identifies the secondary storage control as a mirror of itself, Figure 1]**". The primary control always recognizes the secondary storage control as its copy to make the data updates possible (Figure 2). Therefore, the "data" is already present and constantly being updated before the transmission.

Shackelford et al. do not disclose expressly, "transmitting the first storage object description to the second computer system; transmitting the second storage object to the first computer system".

Berg discloses transmitting object description to other workstations in column 2, at lines 46-51.

Shackelford et al. and Berg are analogous art because they are from the same filed of endeavor of data transmission.

At the time of the invention it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify Shackelford et al. by transmitting object description to other workstations as taught by Berg in column 2, at lines 46-51.

The motivation for doing so would have been to provide a simplified set of commands that is concise for rapid transmission and reception as expressly taught by Berg in column 2, at lines 38-41.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine Berg with Shackelford et al. for the benefit of simultaneous data sharing to obtain the invention as specified in claims 2, 3, 11, 13, 14 and 22.

Conclusion

A. Claims Rejected in the Application

Per the instant office action, claims 1-22 have received a first action on the merits and are subject of a first action non-final.

B. Direction of Future Correspondences


Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jae U. Yu whose telephone number is 571-272-1133. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9AM-5PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Donald A. Sparks can be reached on 571-272-4201. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

July 14, 2006

Jae Un Yu
Art Unit 2185


DONALD SPARKS
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER